| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |   |  |
|-----------------|------------------|---|--|
| 09/973,757      | TAMARU, MASATAKE |   |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         | _ |  |
| Peter Choi      | 3623             |   |  |

| Interview Summary  | 1AMARU, MASATAKE  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |
| *  | Peter Choi  | 3623   |  |  |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):   |   |  |  |  |
| (1) Peter Choi (PTO personnel).  | (3) <u>Eugene Varndell (applic</u>  | ant's representative).   |  |  |
| (2) <u>Michelle Tarae (PTO personnel)</u> .  | (4)   |  |  |  |
| Date of Interview: 23 January 2007.  |   |  |  |  |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2   | 2)⊠ applicant's representative  | 1  |  |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:  | e)⊠ No.   | •  |  |  |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1,14 and 22.   |   |  |  |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Melby et al. (US Patent #6,952,680).  |   |  |  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g   | )□ was not reached. h)⊠ N   | /A.  |  |  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Socio</u>   | nature of what was agreed to  | if an agreement was  |  |  |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached   | opy of the amendments that we   | eed would render the claims<br>ould render the claims                                    |  |  |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AN INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERFLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERFLE OF THE | last Office action has already of ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, VRVIEW. See Summary of Rec | been filed, APPLICANT IS<br>DAYS FROM THIS<br>VHICHEVER IS LATER, TO<br>ord of Interview |  |  |
| EXAMINERS TARAE AND CHOI DISCUSSED W   | MTH MR VARNDELL,  | THE PROPOSED   |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS. A DISCUSSION OF  | THE MELBY REFER   | ENCE AND THE   |  |  |
| CLAIMED INVENTION AND THE DIFFERENCES BE   | TWEEN THEM. EXAMINER  | S SUITED SOME  |  |  |
| CLAIMED INVENTION AND THE DIFFERENCES ISC<br>CLAIM LANGUAGE TO MARROW THE SCOPE OF THE   | CLAIMS AND DISTING  | F CITED 117 PETE TINN  |  |  |
| REFERENCE BEYOND THE MELBY REFERENCE   | WAS UPID. FX  | MINIFE CHOI  |  |  |
| AND THEIR STATUS IN VIEW OF THE CLAIM AMENI  | MAN ENISED THE S  | LOPE OF MELBY  |  |  |
| EXPLAINED THAT THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS BUT MAY NOT BE PRECLUPED BY OTHER PR  | IDR ART REFERENCES  | (01) 01 1102211  |  |  |
| BUT MAY NOT BE FREE CUPED DI SINCK ,   | A AA  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an   | Mihille   | (aral)   |  |  |
| Attachment to a signed Office action.  | Examiner's signa  | ture, if required  |  |  |